

# WINDLE DENOUNCED AS A PRO-GERMAN

---

References were made in city pulpits last night to the anti-prohibition campaign of C. A. Windle who has been brought here to influence the electorate against the Liquor act. Both the Rev. Dr. Armstrong and Rev. F. W. Patterson denounced Mr. Windle as a pro-German and in support of their contention quoted from "The Iconoclast," a paper printed in Chicago and of which he is editor-in-chief.

The following are some of the paragraphs quoted by Rev. Patterson:

"The Kaiser is no more responsible for the present war than King George, Czar Nicholas, or the Emperor Francis Joseph. The German now knows he is fighting for the future against British despotism. Germany is justified in going to any length to prevent annihilation."

"However, there is one thing which

we could do, and which would speedily remove all friction between this and European countries. We can and should place an embargo on all munitions of war, stating that under no circumstances will any arms or ammunition be exported from the United States to any of the warring countries. This would make us real advocates of peace and stop Americans from helping either side. It would also save many lives and possibly shorten the war."

"In addition to an embargo on munitions of war, we could state very plainly that we could not be a party to a plan to starve millions of people, and that we would be compelled to prohibit the exportation of food, clothing and supplies of any kind to England or the allies unless we were permitted to trade with every other nation on equal terms."

"Every gun and every round of ammunition sent England tends to prolong the war. We say that we are neutral but as an absolute fact we are helping the allies and are not helping Germany."

In the course of his remarks, Dr. Armstrong said: "Unless someone should say that we are attacking Mr. Windle and are not willing to meet him in debate, I may say that this afternoon, from my office, C. A. Grant, K.C., called up Mr. Robert McDonald, proprietor of the Selkirk hotel, and asked him if we would be given an opportunity to reply for a few minutes to Mr. Windle's address at tonight's meeting. The request was absolutely refused. He stated that Mr. Windle would return again to the city, and when we asked him if he would meet us and discuss the liquor act, he said we could rent our halls and hold our own meetings, and they would hold theirs. In view of these facts, no one is justified in saying that the temperance people do not desire to meet Mr. Windle."