NO PROGRESS AT ALIEN INQUIRY

Four Accused Refuse to Answer
Questions—Bail Again Refused by Magistrate
Noble.
WINNIPEG, Man., July 17.—The

progress of the immigration board of

terday owing to the fact that the four aliens before the board persisted in their stand of answering no questions on the advice of their counsel. During the morning, the case of Oscar Shoppelrei was blocked, and the Blumenberg case was adjourned.

Solomon Almazoff and Michael Charitonoff appeared before the board in the afternoon and, as they would answer no question, very little

progress was made in the examination. Almazoff stated that he was born in the Prussia Ukraine, 30 years ago, and came from Russia to Canada about 1913. He is single and was a student in the university and a bookkeeper. His father, who is a citizen of Canada, also lives here.

"Did you have an office in the Labor Temple?" was one of the question.

"I object," Marcus Hyman, one of the defence lawyers, said, declaring that the accused should not be asked to disclose any information that might have a bearing on his trial on the charges against him in the civil

"Were you present at a meeting

"I will not answer, on the advice of

held in the Walder theatre on Dec.

22, 1918?" asked Mr. Andrews.

tions put by A. J. Andrews, K. C.,

government prosecutor.

courts.

my counsel."

No further questions were put, as the accused refused to answer.

Evidence was given by Innis Tay-

lor of the R.N.W.M.P., and others

with regard to the arrest of Almazoff

and the search made in his home.

Literature which was seized in the

search was identified by witnesses and placed on exhibition.
Charitonoff stated that he had been in Canada five years and five months. He is a Jew and was born in Russia 27 years ago. On his arrival from Russia, Charitonoff spent a few days

delphia. For about six weeks he worked in a factory in that city and then came to Canada. He was detained at Emerson because he had not enough money to comply with the regulations, but was extricated from his difficulties by a friend in Winni-

peg, who had previously sent him the

money for his passage. He said he

had worked in the Canadian Pacific

railway freight sheds for three years

"What have you done since?" Mr.

Mr. Hyman objected to this question,

until he left last October.

Andrews asked.

and filed.

in New York and then went to Phila-

answering it.

"I had no occupation," he said.

It was suggested that he had been editor of a paper.

"There was no paper. I got a per-

mit, but could not publish." The

"The New Age."

"Were you editor of a paper before?"

Charitonoff answered that he had been publishing a paper for several months prior to the time he had left

the Canadian Pacific Railway. It was

called "The Working People."

"Why did you leave the Canadian Pacific Railway?"

"I was laid off."

"What became of 'The Working People?' he was asked.

Mr. Hyman objected and the usual

asked, as in the meantime the accused refused to answer.

Documents found in the search of Charitonoff's home were identified

Magistrate R. M. Noble again refused to grant counsel the right to cross-examine Sam Blumenberg. Ball was refused in all four cases.