MEIGHEN TO EXPLAIN THE ALIEN SITUATION

Naturalization and Franchise Act Will Lead to Strong Controversy.

Ottawa

Special to The Star., Ont., March 26.—It now seems evident tha the main debate on the Franchise Act will hinge round

person as it was at the birth of such person, shall be deemed incapable of

being changed, or of having been changed merely by reason or in con-sequence of marriage or change of al-

legiance or naturalization of any other person, or otherwise than by personal naturalization of such first-

Purpose of Clause. The main purport of the clausee, says the Government, is to provide that a woman, not a British subject, who comes to Canada from some part of Europe and marries a Canadian, will not be entitled to vote unless she has the same residential and other qualifications as her husband. Were

qualifications as her husband. Were the clauses not in the bill, it is asserted, a woman coming from an alie neountry might only be in Canada a few weeks before she was married and be immediately entitled to the franchise. It was to avoid such a condition that the clausese were inserted. Similar clauses appear in the Women's Suffrage Act of two years ago and of the By-Elections Act of last year

last year.

When debate is continued to-day, however, there is likelihood of further criticisms of the clauses considered objectionable. Under the pro-

visions of the Naturalization last year a person of German, Austrian, Turkish or Bulgarian birth cannot become naturalized in Canada for ten years, dating from the close of the war, and under the Franchise bill there must be personal naturalization to secure a vote; in other words, naturalization by marriage transficient. It is held among

bill there must be personal naturalization to secure a vote; in other words, naturalization by marriage will be insufficient. It is held among the opposition that these provisions would operate not only to disqualify enemy aliens coming to Canada after the war, but also to disfranchise women of alien enemy birth already resident in Canada. They would be disfranchised, it is claimed, because personal naturalization is necessary to secure the vote and they cannot take out naturalization papers for another ten years. In the past they have been considered naturalized by the naturalization of their husbands.

Meighen to Explain.

Meighen to Explain. As a result of the protest it is stated that Hon. Arthur Meighen, stated that Hon. Arthur Meighen, Minister of the Interior, will undertake this afternoon to interpret the provision of the Act which is under

attack. In fact, it is stated that a small conference of Ministers took place at the close of the session last night and that there are differences of opinion in the Cabinet with re-

place — night and that — of opinion in the Cabine — gard to the clause.

Indeed the provision if not amend— is liable to lead to strong con— inasmuch as there are side ed is liable to lead to strong con-troversy, inasmuch as there are members on the Government side who hold that disfranchisement of aliens, so called, should be complete.

aliens,

ver, ...
criticisms of the Under the objectionable. Under the objection Act of the Naturalization Act of the Naturalization Act of the objection of German, Australian birth

mentioned person."

go and year

however

that clause which requires "personal" naturalization as a qualification for the franchise. The clause reads as follows: "For the purposes of this Act, the allegiance or nationality of a