# ABOUT OUR IMMIGRATION: Mr. Sifton Replies to Some General Criticisms ...

Special Despatch to The Globe The Globe (1844-1936); Jul 15, 1903; ProQuest Historical Newspapers: The Globe and Mail pg. 4



Mr. Sifton Replies to Some General Criticisms.

WORK NOT MADE SCARCE.

A Large Factor in the Prosperity of the West.

An Excellent Class of People Brought to Canada-The Premier Announces Mr. Blair's Resignation.

(Special Despatch to The Globe.)

Ottawa, July 14 .-- The proceedings in the House to-day were disappointing to the crowds in the galleries. Some statement on the Ministerial situation was expected, but Mr. Monck precipitated an hour and a half debate on the Ottawa Valley Railway bill, so that before Sir Wilfrid rose to make the brief announcement that Mr. Blair had resigned, Hon. R. W. Scott had given the information in the Senate, and it was generally known in the House. was generally known in the The balance of the day was taken up with a discussion on immigration. The House rose at 12.40 a.m.

### Ottawa Valley Railway.

On the opening of the House Mr. Monk moved to refer back to the Railway Committee the portion of the committee's report throwing out the preamble of the bill respecting the Ottawa Valley Railway Co. He spoke nearly an hour in support of this motion

nearly an hour in support of this mo-tion. Mr. Charles Marcil opposed grant-ing any lurther privileges to the parties connected with the Atlantic & Lake Superior Railway Co. until the credit-ors of that company were settled with. Mr. Leonard, speaking in French, supported Mr. Monk's motion. Mr. Prefontaine explained the rea-sons which had led to the throwing out of the bill, which involved old and intricate dealings. In answer to a statement of Mr. Monk's that he was personally connected with Mr. C. N. Armstrong's enterprises, Mr. Prefon-taine stated that he had endorsed paper for Mr. Armstrong in connection with railway enterprises, and had lost some \$26,000 through him. The motion to reier back was lost on a division by S7 to 57.

### Destruction of Game Fish.

Destruction of Game Fish. Mr. Prefontaine introduced a bill to amend the fisheries act. He explain-ed that it deals with the use of explo-sives in hunting whales, the use of purse seines and the use of trap nets. Mr. Osler complained that under the local laws the salmon fisheries of the rivers in Quebec and in New Bruns-wick were being destroyed by reason of the use of nets. Many fish eaught by rod and line were found to have re-ceived injuries in breaking away from the nets in which they had become en-tangled. If the Government were to obtain a report of the condition of these sporting rivers they would make an alteration in the conditions under which the net licenses were issued. Mr. Lemieux (Gaspe) said that the salmon was better protected than ever before. Anyway, this was a subject for the Provincial authorities. Mr. Blair's Resignation.

## Mr. Blair's Resignation.

for the Provincial authorities, Mr. Blair's Resignation. Sir Wilfrid Laurier, when the orders of the day were called, for the infor-mation of the House volunteered the statement : I have to inform the House that Hon. Mr. Blair has tendered his resignation as a member of the Cabi-net and Minister of Railways, and that the Governor-General has been pleased to accept his resignation. The Minis-terial explanation will probably be given on Thursday next. Mr. A. C. Bell (Pictou) inquired whether there was any truth in the re-port that the Colonial Secretary had been invited to discuss certain amend-unents to the militia act. Sir Frederick Borden replied that a short time ago Hon. Jos. Chamberlain intimated that as he understood amend-ments to the militia act were to be put through this session, he would be glad if the Minister of Militia could, after the close of the present session, go to England to adjust the matter with him and with the Secetary of State for War. The First Minister had inti-mated his willingness that that should be done. Mr. Ingram, speaking to a question of privilege, quoted from a report published in The Globe of Saturday last of the discussion before the Com-mittee on Redistribution, and denied

hat he had proposed or originated ny of the four propositions re-arding the division of Elgin before he committee, and added that as far's he knew no Conservative had made ny of them.

## farine Regulations.

farine Regulations. Hon, Mr. Prefontaine's resolution naking provision for the abrogation of steamboat inspection fees and dues for the better re-culation ' of yachts propelled' y gas, fluid naphtha or electric mo-ors, was discussed in committee and eported. Mr. Walter Scott, on behalf of Mr. dcCreary, voiced the protest of the shermen of Manitoba against fish-ing tugs being required to have a li-ensed engineer, while yachts of much arger size, with many valuable lives n board, were allowed to run with-ut certificated engineers. Hon, Mr. Prefontaine admitted the trength of the arguments advanced y Mr. Scott, but pointed out that the ngineers wanted a still more severe xamination and regulation. A bill founded upon the resolution ras given first reading. The resolution by Mr. Prefontaine roviding for the 'issue of a new certi-cate to masters and mates of ships, b be called a "home trade certifi-te," was also reported by the Com-nittee of the Whole, and a bill found-d thereon given first reading. mmigration Policy. On the motion to go into supply. Mr.

mmigration Policy.

On the motion to go into supply, Mr. Jriah Wilson took advantage of the portunity to discuss the immigration olicy of the Government. He com-lained that the Canadian officials did of turn back immigrants affected ith minor discases, such as tracoma ad lupus, only rejecting those who ave smallpox, fever or similar dis-ases. No provision was made to eep out criminals or paupers, with ite result that may undesirable im-igrants, who would not be allowed o enter the United States, were per-itted to remain in Canada. In sup-ort of his statements Mr. Wilson uoted a letter from Mr. Robt. Wan-horn, the United States immigration ficer at Montreal, and insisted rangements with the Government f the United States for mutual ction in reference to the inspection fimmigrants, on the ground that peo-le who were not desirable settlers for te United States were not good nough for Canada. The great in-rease in the number of immigrants oming into. Canada Mr. Wilson at-ibuted to the fact that the steamship gents in Europe, knowing that the spection was lax, booked undesirable nmigrants to Canadian ports. Dur-ing the scason of 1902, of 4,086 persons ispected at the port of Montreal by the United States. None of these, Ir. Wilson said, had been deported by is Canadian authorities. In conse-uence, we get in Canada all the dis-assed and all the **undesirable** immi-rants which the United States reiuse paccept. Mr. Wilson questioned the curracy of the statistics of homestead ntries and arrivals if Canada. Up-ards of five thousand of the fourteen by acdisin authorities. In conse-uousand homestead entries were by anadians, therefore the entries by im-igrants from abroad only represented ut a small proportion of the reported rivals, indicating that the people ere not going on the land. The ex-enditure by the department on immi-ration was criticized by Mr. Wilson, ho claimed that the money paid to gents in the United States was thrown way, those settlers coming from the

## lood Word For Immigrants.

Mr. Roche (Halifax), from his own sperience and observation of these nmigrants as they came off the ves-els, spoke highly of the character and ppearance of the immigrants being rought in from Silesia, southern Rus-ia etc.

rought in from Silesia, southern Rus-ia, etc. Mr. Oliver thought that unselected nnigration was not desirable, al-lough it was a good thing to obtain all te immigration of a good class. Ow-ig to the influx of American and Bri-ish settlers, there was no necessity for ringing in inferior settlers, and he uggested that the system of having eliable immigration agents select sui-ble settlers should be continued and xtended. Immigration was not now a natter of bringing immigrants, but of aying which we would accept. Ar. Puttee's Complaint

aying which we would accept. Ar. Puttee's Complaint. Mr. Puttee's Complaint. Mr. Puttee agreed with Mr. Oliver s to the importance of having a good lass of immigrants. He was glad that he class who were coming in some ive years ago were not now being rought, and that a better class were oming in. He said that the British ublic were being misled as to which ere Government agencies, and read n advertisement guaranteeing immedi-te emploment to mechanics, the ad-ress given being only a couple of oors from the new Canadian Govern-nent offices in London. Mr. Puttee aid it appeared almost as if the Can-dian Government agent was in ollusion with this agency, as e did not put the matter ight before the British public. Discussing the influx of immigrants luring the last few months, Mr. Put-ee said there has been altogether too arge a percentage of the arrivals who vere anxious and determined to act-le in the cities, and the influx has ad deleterious effects, so that the vage earners could hardly live at all, t was not a reasonable policy to put before the working men, that they hould be taxed in order to keep im-ingration agents at work sending eople out here to compete with them nd keep their own wages down. Now hat the immigrants were coming in uch large numbers, he suggested that an efficient inspection of the accom-nodation given by the steamship be provided, also a stricter struting hysically of the people who come to anda. Mr. M. K. Richardson (South Arey) expressed the opinion that not Ar. Puttee's Complaint. hysically of the people who come to Danada. Mr. M. K. Richardson (South Srey) expressed the opinion that not numbers but the quality of the immi-gration received should be the basis iponi which the expenditure for immi-tration should be criticized. He spoke of the desirability of retaining within he Dominion as much as possible the coung men of a wandering disposition and the necessity of engendering and atimulating in the great northwest a road national spirit.

dò with people who had come to Can-ada at their own expense, to hew out homes for themselves, because they had little means. Would they send them back? He urged that such peo-ple be received with Christian spirit and assisted to work out their destiny. Mr. A. C. Bell (Pictou) recognized that with its tremendous resources and its small population there was in Canada great hunger for population, and especially was this the case in the west. But the representatives of the west But the representatives of the west had expressed a desire for a bef-ter class of settlers. The tendency at present, however, was to get as large a share as possible of the British and United States immigration, which could not be classed as undesirable. The amount spent in immigration had been well spent, judging by the results, both parties having for many years past endeavored to secure the best class of immigrates. A cause of com-plaint by Canadians was the fact that the railways would not give the settlers from the older Provinces who desired to remove to the northwest the same iavorable rates that the European im-migrants enjoyed. The debate was continued by Dr. Sproule and Mr. T. O. Davis. Mr. Sifton's Reply. At 11.30 Mr. Silton rose to reply, and

Mr. Sifton's Reply.

The debate was continued by D. Sproule and Mr. T. O. Davis. Mr. Sifton's Reply. At 11.30 Mr. Sifton rose to reply, and said that he would not at that late hour derain the House very long, but would reply briefly to some of the criti-cisms that had been made by the Op-position. It was not, he said, a wise policy for the Govern-ment to spend public money to aid the movement of population from one part of the Dominion to another, and he had no hesitation in making the statement that so long as he was Min-ister oi the Interior he would not be in favor of such a policy, jor the rea-son, first, that it would have the ei-fect of reducing the population of the eastern Provinces, and, secondly, be-cause it would tend to pauperize the people. He did not believe the peo-ple of Canada desired or required the expenditure of public money for such purposes. During the seven years he had been at the head of the depart-ment no person had been given as-sistance except under the most press-ing circumstances, and then when the circumstances were beyond the con-trol of the person to whom it has been given. In regard to the difficulties of transportation, representations have from time to time been made to the railway companies, who have so far refused to give the same rates to peo-ple desiring to move from eastern Canada to the west as are given to the foreign immigrants. They had, however, made arrangements for the movement of large numbers of people from Ontario and Quebec, particularly, who had been of the greatest value in gathering the crop. The movement of young men to the cities and towns of the west was because they did not desire to follow agricultural life. It had been the policy of the Government to encourage the movement of mo-haborers. The Government have not encourage the movement of mo-haborers. The Government have not encourage the movement of mechan-ics of any other country to Canada. **No Lack of Work.** 

No Lack of Work.

encouraged the movement of mechan-ics of any other country to Canada. No Lack of Work. Replying to Mr. Puttee, Mr. Sifton said he had no great degree of sym-pathy with the views he had expressed. Certain organizations in Canada had persistently opposed immigration of all kinds and under all conditions, and have made strong statements. But, so far as the people of Winnipeg were concerned, they were happy and prosperous, there is a great demand for labor at good wages, all of which were the result of the immigration pol-icy of the Government in settling up the lands of the west, which he was quite prepared to justify. Mr. Sifton combatted the statement that many of the immigrants remaining in Canada were of an undesirable class. He in-sisted that, man for man, the 125,000 people who have come to Canada this year will compare favorably with a like number anywhere. The 40,000 that came from the western States were the very salt of the agricultural popula-tion there. The English immigrants were of the bests, and with respect to the continental immigrants, he had to say that they were industrious and seli-reliant, and no class of people who come to Canada were more thoroughly capable of taking care of themselves. From the moment they landed in Win-nipeg, and were directed to the place they were bound for, there were no people gave the officials less trouble than they. Who would have taken off the magnificent crops of re-cent years had it not been for these people? The crop would have from the dentain ports, he ventur-ed to say that within one week the farmers of Ontario and the northwest would be prepared to vote the Govern-ment out of power. Would Not Accept U.S. Classification. Mr. Wilson had, Mr. Sifton con-

tion to the population of the Domin-ion and the House and country would endorse a policy which has resulted in the filing up of vacant lands, which every business man knew had contrib-uted largely to the prosperity of the country. If the Government now with-drew from its active propaganda and advertising campaign, the tide of im-migration to the Dominion would speedily be stemmed, and in two years there would not be more thun 10,000 or 15,000 as at present. In Committee of Supply.

# In Committee of Supply.

In Committee of Supply. The House went into supply at mid-right on Mr. Sifton's main estimates for immigration. Mr. Monk suggested that, in view of the late hour, and the late session of last night, that the \$110,000 for sal-aries and employees in Canada, Great Britain and foreign countries, and two small items, should be passed, but that the remaining item of \$483,cco for con-tingencies should be held over. Mr. Sifton accepted the suggestion, and the House adjourned at 12.20 a.m.

#### The Pioncers of Canada.

Hon. Wm. Ross (Victoria, N.S.) isked what gentlemen opposite would

#### Would Not Accept U.S. Classification.

ment out of power. Would Not Accept U.S. Classification. Mr. Wilson had, Mr. Sifton con-tinued, expressed great concern about the health of the immigrants, and held that because the United States inspector at Montreal rejected immigrants for the United States, Can-ada should allow the United States, Can-ada should come to Canada and who should not. So long as the department was under his charge the officers of the de-partment would be the parties who should decide that question. Mr. Sifton insisted that the method of in-spection pursued at Canadian ports by the department was most effective, and said that the United States officers de-clared that immigrants rejected for other and frivolous reasons were diseased, as shown by an in-stance at Sault Ste. Marie, where a man with a sore finger was rejected. There was, Mr. Sifton added, a most complete and effective primary selec-tion at the ports in the country of ori-gin whence continental .immigrants came. The North American Trading Company, with which the Government had a contract, confined its operations chiefly to the Scandinavian countries and central Europe. As a result of the operations of the department for the past seven years, there had been, he said, a very large and desirable addi-

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.