JUDGMENT RESERVED IN NERLICH CASE: Court Will Take Time to Consider Alleged Co The Globe (1844-1936); May 27, 1915; ProQuest Historical Newspapers: The Globe and Mail pg. 7



Court Will Take Time to Consider Alleged Conspiracy Evidence

ŝ

Judgment in the appeal of Emil Nerlich from the verdict of the High Court jury, finding him guilty of conspiracy to assist an allen enemy to leave the country with a view to taking up arms with the enemy, has been reserved. At the conclusion െ two days of forensic argument before the Appellate Division at Osgoode Hall, Chief Justice Sir William Meredith, who presided, intimated that the court would take time to consider evidence and argument more fully.

The suggestion that it was desired to dispose of the appeal before the appellant was placed upon trial on the pending second charge of treason was not met by any assurance from the court. Justices Maclaren, Garrow, Magee and Hodgins all intimated their concurrence with Sir William Meredith in the view that the proceedings in the ensuing jury case were not dependent upon the judgment of the Appellate Division on the appeal, the Appendic Division of the appendic although Mr. I. F. Hellmuth, K.C., who appeared with Mr. G. F. Shep-ley, K.C., for the appellant, argued that certain of the ovidence to be sub-mitted by the Crown in the treason case would be the same as that used in the conspiracy case now under ap-

peal. Deputy Attorney-Generat' J. R. Cartwright, K.C., and Mr. E. A. A. DuVernet. K.C., represented the Crown and argued that the conviction verdict of the jury should be sus-tained. They maintained that in ad-vancing money to Arthur Zirzow, a Prussian officer, who had succeeded in securing an excat from the Regis-trar of Allens to leave the country for the United States, Nerlich had con-spired to assist the alien to return to Germany with a view to entering the army of the onemy. Legal Cliations Made

Legal Citations Made.

Legal Citations Made. The argument throughout was large-ly technical in character. Many legal citations were made. On behalf of the appellant, it was argued that con-spiracy it o assist could not be estab-lished unless it be shown that more than one participated in the alleged conspiracy. The Crown argued that the indictment was sufficient to in-citude Zirzow. "If two people come together," said Deputy Attornoy-Gen-eral Cartwright, "and one of them says, 'I want to commit burglary and I havon't the tools,' and the other says, Till buy them for you,' surely they are conspiring?" Mr. Hollmuth, however, repudiated the idea that Nerlich was not absolutely loyal to the land of his adoption.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.